THE INFORMATICS NEEDS OF AMATEUR ENDURANCE ATHLETIC COACHES



#personalinformatics #athletes #sports #amateur

Introduction

Recent advancements in technology have led to an increase in the adoption of personal informatics systems. One area this has occurred in is the endurance athletic community by amateur athletes—athletes that train and compete at the amateur level (primarily without pay) who are coached by an experienced person or are self-coached (e.g., high school athletes, college or varsity athletes). Endurance athletics refers to sports that require an athlete to perform over an extended distance or time period.

The goal of our research was to learn what types of athlete-related information endurance athletic coaches kept a record of, what record-keeping systems they used (if any), how athletes informed them of training-relevant information, and how these records were used to improve an athlete's training.



Study Methods & Goals

Eight participants (two female) who had experience coaching amateur endurance athletes were interviewed for 60 mins. Interview questions were organized into four different sections:

1) Background information (e.g., "What sport(s) are you currently coaching or have coached in the past?")
2) Coach-athlete interactions (e.g., "How do you monitor athletes improvements or declines in performance?")
3) Coaching methodology (e.g., "Do you use any athlete data to structure training programs?")
4) Technology and personal informatics systems (e.g., "Have you used any personal informatics athletic applications?")



Identified Key Metrics

Rate of Perceived Exertion

RPE was a contextual factor which was used in comparison with workout data, such as distance and time, to track an athlete's performance over time.

Injuries & Illnesses

Participants explained how it was important to understand what the injury or illness was to determine how long the athlete would need to rest.

Sleep & Stress

Our participants told us that sleep and stress were factors that had a tendency to affect an athlete's performance on a specific day of training, rather than a longer period of time.

Mood

An athlete's mood can also have a direct affect on his or her performance. Similarly to sleep and stress, mood was a factor that our participants tried to gauge through communication with their athletes.

Goals & Timelines

Participants told us that goals and key races, such as a national championship, were also important to track as part of training.

	W	Veeks List	Day	y 1	.0/30/2012	- 12/14	1/2012	Workouts	Meals				
		Date			Description		Pre-activ	-	Post-activi comments	-	Planned duration	Completed duration	Planned distance
	£	Fri, 12/14/2012		5:20 on deck 5:30 in water						1:30:00			
	ズ	Fri, 12/14/2012		pre or post swim		very easy F.A.S.T.				0:15:00			
	፠	Fri, 12/14/2012		FUNmov activation warm up 10 reps each movement or 20-30 sec each pose		@Creekside				0:45:00		0.00 mi	
	<i>‡</i>	Fri, 12/14/2012		Tready Workout >Economy of Motion + Aerobic Power		@CREEKSIDE think F.A.S.T.				0:30:00			
	£	Thu, 12/13/20	012	•			5:40 am 0 6:00 am ii				1:30:00		
	キ	Thu, 12/13/2012		pre or post swim		very easy F.A.S.T.				0:10:00			
	፠	Thu, 12/13/20	hu, 12/13/2012 FUNmov activation warm up 10 reps each movement or 20-30 sec each pose		20-30	before leaving home or pre-training				0:10:00		0.00 mi	
	కోం	Thu, 12/13/20	012	•	easy aerobic r chainring and terrain OR tra please	flat	on your ov NOTE suns riding outs	set time if			0:40:00		
	<u>&</u>	Wed, 12/12/2	.0	•	timed 800		5:20 on de 5:30 in wa				1:30:00		
	ょ	Wed, 12/12/2	0	•	pre or post sw	rim	very easy	F.A.S.T.			0:15:00		
/	莱	Wed, 12/12/2	0	•	FUNmov activ warm up 10 reps each movement or sec each pose	20-30	before leav pre-trainin	ving home or			0:15:00		0.00 mi
	キ	Wed, 12/12/2	0	•	easy aerobic r trails or softer		on your ov think F.A.S				0:40:00		
	£	Tue, 12/11/20	012	•			5:40 am o 6:00 am ii				1:30:00		0 yds
	Ľ	Tue 12/11/20	110 12/11/2012 nre or noct swim		Very eacy F A S T			0.10.00					

Key Design Opportunities

1) First, we see the need to strongly focus designs around the coupling of athlete-specific contextual information with performance data. Naturally, this needs to be easy to input and easy to analyze. On one hand, it may be easier for an athlete to record qualitative, textual data (as evidenced by the manner in which they do it currently), yet our study shows this can be difficult for coaches to read, parse, and understand.

2) Second, we see design opportunities for information sharing between athletes and coaches. Many coaches relied on face-to-face interactions to gather data. Yet some of the relevant information may come at times when the coach is not around.